

A GENERALIZATION OF THE LYNDON-HOCHSCHILD-SERRE SPECTRAL SEQUENCE FOR POLYNOMIAL COHOMOLOGY

BOBBY W. RAMSEY

ABSTRACT. We construct an analogue of the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence in the context of polynomial cohomology converging to $HP^*(G)$. For the polynomial extensions of Noskov with normal subgroup isocohomological, the spectral sequence has E_2 -term $HP^*(Q; HP^*(H))$. When both H and Q are isocohomological, G must be isocohomological. By referencing results of Connes-Moscovici and Noskov if H and Q are both isocohomological and have the Rapid Decay property, then G satisfies the Novikov conjecture.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Bornologies	4
3. Preliminary Results on Bornological Spectral Sequences	7
4. Polynomial Cohomology	14
5. Proof of Theorem 3	18
References	22

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], Connes and Moscovici verified the Novikov Conjecture for word hyperbolic groups by showing that they satisfy two properties. The first is the Rapid Decay property of Jolissaint [9], which ensures the existence of a smooth dense subalgebra of the reduced group C^* -algebra. The second property is that every cohomology class can be represented by a cocycle of polynomial growth, with respect to some (hence any) word-length function on the group. Connes and Moscovici show that any group with these two properties satisfies the Novikov Conjecture.

Date: November 2007.

The polynomial cohomology of a group G , denoted $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C})$, obtained by considering only cochains of polynomial growth, has been of interest recently. The inclusion of these polynomially bounded cochains into the full cochain complex yields a homomorphism from the polynomial cohomology to the full cohomology of the group. The second property of Connes-Moscovici above is that this polynomial comparison homomorphism is surjective. We say that a group G is isocohomological for M if $HP^*(G; M)$ is bornologically isomorphic to $H^*(G; M)$. A group which is isocohomological for the trivial coefficients $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be isocohomological. This definition of isocohomological differs from that found in [14]. Meyer's notion is much stronger than that used here.

In [7] Ji defined polynomial cohomology and showed that virtually nilpotent groups, are isocohomological. In [11] Meyer showed that combable groups are isocohomological. By citing a result of Gersten regarding classifying spaces for combable groups [4], Ogle independantly showed that combable groups are isocohomological.

For a group extension, $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$, the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre (LHS) spectral sequence is a first-quadrant spectral sequence with E_2 term $H^*(Q; H^*(H))$ and converging to $H^*(G)$. In [16], Noskov generalized the construction of the LHS spectral sequence to obtain a spectral sequence in bounded cohomology for which, under suitable topological circumstances, one could identify the E_2 term as $H_b^*(Q; H_b^*(H))$ and which converges to $H_b^*(G)$. Ogle considered the LHS spectral sequence in the context of P-bounded cohomology in [17]. However he also needs additional technical considerations to ensure the appropriate E_2 term. It is not clear for which class of extensions his condition is satisfied.

In this paper we resolve this issue for polynomial extensions, which were proposed by Noskov in [15]. Let ℓ , ℓ_H , and ℓ_Q be word-length functions on G , H , and Q respectively, and let

$$0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 0$$

be an extension of Q by H . Let $q \mapsto \bar{q}$ be a cross section of π . To this cross section associate a function $[\cdot, \cdot] : Q \times Q \rightarrow H$ by $\bar{q}_1 \bar{q}_2 = \overline{q_1 q_2} [q_1, q_2]$. This is the factor set of the extension. The factor set has polynomial growth if there exists constants C and r such that $\ell_H([q_1, q_2]) \leq C((1 + \ell_Q(q_1))(1 + \ell_Q(q_2)))^r$. The cross section also determines an "action" of Q on H given by $h^q = \bar{q}^{-1} h \bar{q}$. For nonabelian groups H this need not be an actual group action but we follow the terminology of Noskov. The action is polynomial if there exists constants C and r such that $\ell_H(h^q) \leq C \ell_H(h)(1 + \ell_Q(q))^r$. Note that if Q

is the finite generating set for Q and $\mathcal{A}$ is the finite generating set for H , then as the generating set for G we will take the set of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{q}$ for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Definition 1. An extension G of a finitely generated group Q by a finitely generated group H is said to be a polynomial extension if there is a cross section yielding a factor set of polynomial growth and inducing a polynomial action of Q on H .

Our main theorem is as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial extension of groups, and let H be isocohomological. There is a bornological spectral sequence with $E_2^{p,q} \cong HP^p(Q; HP^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$ which converges to $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C})$.*

Using the methods of [14] Meyer is able to show that this theorem holds if one uses his notion of isocohomological. This results in a stronger conclusion but requires a stronger hypothesis.

In the case when Q is isocohomological with the appropriate coefficients, we can compare our spectral sequence with the usual LHS spectral sequence, and see that they have isomorphic E_2 -terms, thus the same limits.

Corollary 1. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial extension of groups. If Q is isocohomological with coefficients $HP^*(H; \mathbb{C})$ and H is isocohomological, then G is isocohomological.*

This yields a new class of groups for which the isocohomological property was previously unknown, as these types of polynomial extensions need not be virtually nilpotent or polynomially combable. Applying a result of Noskov, [15], regarding the polynomial extension of groups with the Rapid Decay property, as well as the results of Connes-Moscovici,[3], we obtain:

Corollary 2. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial group extension, let Q be isocohomological with coefficients $HP^*(H; \mathbb{C})$, and let H be isocohomological. If both Q and H have the Rapid Decay property, G satisfies the Novikov conjecture.*

The class of groups which have the Rapid Decay property and are isocohomological thus contains all virtually nilpotent groups, hyperbolic groups, and is closed under polynomial extensions.

It would be convient to work in the category of Fréchet and DF spaces, however there are many quotients involved in the construction, yielding spaces which need be neither Fréchet nor DF. This is

the issue Ogle overcomes by use of a technical hypothesis in [17], and it is at the heart of the topological consideration in [16], to identify the E_2 -terms in their spectral sequences. Moreover, at this point in our analysis we need to utilize an adjointness relationship of the form $\text{Hom}(A \hat{\otimes} B, C) \cong \text{Hom}(A, \text{Hom}(B, C))$ which is not true in the category of locally convex topological vector spaces. To overcome these obstacles we work mostly in the bornological category. A bornology on a space is an analogue of a topology, in which boundedness replaces openness as the key consideration. In this context, we are also able to bypass many of the issues involved in the topological analysis of algebraic vector spaces. When endowed with the fine bornology, as defined later, an algebraic vector space is a complete bornological vector space. The finest topology yielding a complete topological structure on such a space is cumbersome. This bornology allows us to replace analysis of continuity in this topology, to boundedness in a finite dimensional vector space. This bornological view serves to verify the identification of the ‘iterated’ cohomology spaces $H^*(Q; H^*(H; \mathbb{C}))$ and $HP^*(Q; HP^*(\mathbb{C}))$.

In Section 2, we define the relevant concepts from the bornological framework of Hogbe-Nlend, and extended by Meyer. Section 3 consists of translating the usual algebraic spectral sequence arguments into this framework. This is mainly verifying that the vector space isomorphisms are in fact isomorphisms of bornological spaces. These will be the main tools of our construction. In section 4 we define the relevant bornological algebras and define the polynomial cohomology of a discrete group endowed with a length function, as well as basic materials for the construction of our spectral sequence and some of its applications. The actual computation is the focus of the final section.

This work constitutes a portion of my doctoral thesis at Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, under the direction of Ronghui Ji. I gratefully thank him as well as Jerry Kaminker, and Crichton Ogle for their many discussions and suggestions on these and related ideas.

2. BORNOLOGIES

Let A and B be subsets of a locally convex topological vector space V . A is circled if $\lambda A \subset A$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| \leq 1$. A is a disk if it is both circled and convex. A absorbs B if there is an $\alpha > 0$ such that $B \subset \lambda A$ for all $|\lambda| \geq \alpha$. A is absorbent if it absorbs every singleton in V . The circled (disked, convex) hull of A is the smallest circled (disked, convex) subset of V containing A . For an absorbent set A there is associated a semi-norm on ρ_A on V given by $\rho_A(v) = \inf \{\alpha > 0; v \in \alpha A\}$. For

an arbitrary subset A , denote V_A by the subspace of V spanned by A . Then for a disked set A , ρ_A is a semi-norm on V_A . A is a completant disk if V_A is a Banach space in the topology induced by ρ_A .

Let V be a locally convex topological vector space. A convex vector space bornology on V is a collection $\mathfrak{B}$ of subsets of V such that:

- (1) For every $v \in V$, $\{v\} \in \mathfrak{B}$
- (2) $A \subset B$ and $B \in \mathfrak{B}$ then $A \in \mathfrak{B}$
- (3) $A, B \in \mathfrak{B}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then $A + \lambda B \in \mathfrak{B}$
- (4) Let $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, and B is the disked hull of A , then $B \in \mathfrak{B}$

An $A \in \mathfrak{B}$ is said to be a bounded subset of V .

Let V and W be two bornological spaces. A map $V \rightarrow W$ is said to be bounded if the image of every bounded set in V is bounded in W . A bornological isomorphism is a bounded bijection with bounded inverse. The collection of all bounded linear maps $V \rightarrow W$ is denoted $\text{Hom}(V, W)$. There is a canonical complete convex bornology on $\text{Hom}(V, W)$, given by the families of equi-bounded functions. A family $\mathcal{U}$ is equi-bounded if for every bounded $A \subset V$, $\mathcal{U}[A]$ is bounded in W .

If W is a bornological space and $V \subset W$, then there is a bornology on V induced from that on W in the obvious way. A subset A is bounded in V if and only if A is bounded as a subset of W . There is also a bornology on W/V induced from W . A subset $B \subset W/V$ is bounded if and only if there is a bounded $C \subset W$ which maps to B under the canonical projection $W \rightarrow W/V$.

In what follows, we will be most interested in Fréchet spaces. For a Fréchet space F , there is a countable directed family of seminorms, $\|\cdot\|_n$ yielding the topology. A set U is said to be von Neumann bounded if $\|U\|_n < \infty$ for all n . The collection of all von Neumann bounded sets forms the von Neumann Bornology on F . This will be our bornology of choice on Fréchet spaces, due to the relation with topological constructs. For more details, see [6], [13].

Definition 2. A net in a bornological vector space F is a family $\mathcal{R}$ of disks of F , $e_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k}$, with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $i_j \in \mathcal{I}$, for some countable index set $\mathcal{I}$, which satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $F = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{I}} e_i$, and $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \bigcup_{i_{k+1} \in \mathcal{I}} e_{i_1, \dots, i_k, i_{k+1}}$ for $k > 1$.
- (2) For every sequence (i_k) in $\mathcal{I}$, there is a sequence (ν_k) of positive reals such that for each $f_k \in e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ and each $\mu_k \in [0, \nu_k]$, the series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k$ converges bornologically in F , and for each $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ $\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \mu_k f_k \in e_{i_1, \dots, i_{k_0}}$.
- (3) For every sequence (i_k) in $\mathcal{I}$ and every sequence (λ_k) of positive reals, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ is bounded in F .

As an example, Hogbe-Nlend shows that every bornological space with a countable base has a net.

Lemma 1. *Let F be a bornological vector space with a net, and let V be a subspace of F . Then V has a net.*

Proof. Let $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$, $i_j \in \mathcal{I}$, denote the net on F . Then $e'_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = V \cap e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ is a disk in V , satisfying the conditions. $\square$

Lemma 2. *Let F be a bornological vector space with a net, and let V be a subspace of F . Then F/V has a net.*

Proof. Let $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$, $i_j \in \mathcal{I}$, denote the net on F , and let $\pi : F \rightarrow F/V$ be the projection. Let $e'_{i_1, \dots, i_k} = \pi e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$. That $e'_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ is a disk follows from the linearity of π . The properties of the net $e_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ on F , yield that $e'_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ is a net on F/V . $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let U be a Fréchet space. Then $\text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{C}^N)$ has a net.*

Proof. For each finite sequence of ordered triples of positive integers $(n_1, M_1, K_1), \dots, (n_k, M_k, K_k)$, define $b_{(n_1, M_1, K_1), \dots, (n_k, M_k, K_k)}$ to be the set of all $f \in \text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{C}^N)$ such that for all i between 1 and k , $|f(u)| < M_i$ for all $u \in U$ with $\|u\|_{U, n_i} < K_i$. In this case, Hogbe-Nlend shows that bounded homomorphisms are exactly the continuous homomorphisms, and equi-bounded families are precisely the equi-continuous families [6]. Thus if W is an equi-bounded family, then for all neighborhoods V of zero in $\mathbb{C}^N$, $W^{-1}[V] = \cap_{f \in W} f^{-1}(V)$ is a neighborhood of zero in U . That is, there exist $n_1, \dots, n_k$ and $K_1, \dots, K_k$ such that $\{u \in U \mid \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq k \text{ } \|u\|_{U, n_i} < K_i\}$ is contained in $W^{-1}[V]$. Then for each $f \in W$ and for each u in this set, $f(u) \in V$. Let V be the open ball of radius 1 in $\mathbb{C}^N$, and let $n_1, \dots, n_k$ and $K_1, \dots, K_k$ be the positive integers associated to V as above. Then $W \subset b_{(n_1, 1, K_1), \dots, (n_k, 1, K_k)}$. On the other hand, let $B = b_{(n_1, M_1, K_1), \dots, (n_k, M_k, K_k)}$, $f \in B$, $M = \max M_i$, and let V be the open ball of radius R in $\mathbb{C}$. Then $V = \frac{R}{M} V'$ where V' is the open ball of radius M in $\mathbb{C}^N$. $f^{-1}(V) = \frac{R}{M} f^{-1}(V')$, so if $B^{-1}[V]$ is a neighborhood of zero in U , then so is $B^{-1}[V']$. Let $u \in U$ be such that for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ we have $\|u\|_{U, n_i} < K_i$. Then $|f(u)| < M$, so $f(u) \in V'$. Let S be the set of all such $u \in U$. It is a neighborhood of zero. Moreover $f(S) \subset V'$ so that $S \subset f^{-1}(V')$, whence $S \subset B^{-1}[V']$. This implies that B is an equi-bounded family, so the bornology on $\text{Hom}(U, \mathbb{C}^N)$ has a countable base. The result follows from our earlier remark. $\square$

Our main use for nets is the following from [6]:

Theorem 2. *Let E and F be convex bornological spaces such that E is complete and F has a net. Every bounded linear bijection $v : F \rightarrow E$ is a bornological isomorphism.*

Let V and W be bornological vector spaces. The complete projective bornological tensor product $V \hat{\otimes} W$ is given by the following universal property: For any complete bornological vector space X , a jointly bounded linear map $V \times W \rightarrow X$ extends uniquely to a bounded map $V \hat{\otimes} W \rightarrow X$. Unlike the complete topological projective tensor product on the category of locally compact vector spaces, this bornological tensor product admits an adjoint.

Lemma 4. [14] $\text{Hom}(V \hat{\otimes} W, M) \cong \text{Hom}(V, \text{Hom}(W, M))$

3. PRELIMINARY RESULTS ON BORNOLICAL SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

This section contains several results from McCleary's book, [10], translated into the bornological framework. The proofs given are almost entirely from McCleary, making changes only to verify that the algebraic isomorphisms involved are in fact isomorphisms of bornological spaces. The interested reader should consult [10] for the definitions of a double complex and the total complex of a double complex.

Let (A, d) be a differential graded bornological module. That is, $A = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n$ is a graded bornological module, $d : A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1}$ is a degree 1 bounded linear map, with $d^2 = 0$. Let F be a filtration of A which is preserved by the differential, so that for all p, q we have $d(F^p A^q) \subset F^p A^{q+1}$. We also assume that the filtration is decreasing, in that $\dots \subset F^{p+1} A^q \subset F^p A^q \subset F^{p-1} A^q \subset \dots$. Such an (A, F, d) will be referred to as a filtered differential graded bornological module. The filtration F is said to be bounded if for each n , there is $s = s(n)$ and $t = t(n)$ such that

$$0 = F^s A^n \subset F^{s-1} A^n \subset \dots \subset F^{t+1} A^n \subset F^t A^n = A^n$$

Lemma 5. *For (A, F, d) a filtered differential graded bornological module, there is a spectral sequence of bornological modules $\{E_r^{*,*}, d_r\}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$, with d_r of bidegree $(r, 1 - r)$ and $E_1^{p,q} \cong H^{p+q}(F^p A / F^{p+1} A)$. Suppose further that the filtration is bounded. Then the spectral sequence converges to $H(A, d)$, $E_{\infty}^{p,q} \cong F^p H^{p+q}(A, d) / F^{p+1} H^{p+q}(A, d)$.*

Proof. We begin by fixing some notation. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Z_r^{p,q} &= F^p A^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^{p+r} A^{p+q+1}) \\ B_r^{p,q} &= F^p A^{p+q} \cap d(F^{p-r} A^{p+q-1}) \\ Z_\infty^{p,q} &= F^p A^{p+q} \cap \ker d \\ B_\infty^{p,q} &= F^p A^{p+q} \cap \operatorname{im} d \end{aligned}$$

where each of these subspaces are given the subspace bornology. Let d^n be the restriction of $d : A \rightarrow A$ to $d^n : A^n \rightarrow A^{n+1}$.

These definitions yield the following ‘tower’ of submodules:

$$B_0^{p,q} \subset B_1^{p,q} \subset \dots \subset B_\infty^{p,q} \subset Z_\infty^{p,q} \subset \dots \subset Z_1^{p,q} \subset Z_0^{p,q}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Z_r^{p-r,q+r-1}) &= d(F^{p-r} A^{p+q+1} \cap d^{-1}(F^p A^{p+q})) \\ &= F^p A^{p+q+1} \cap d(F^{p-r} A^{p+q-1}) \\ &= B_r^{p,q} \end{aligned}$$

From the boundedness condition on the filtration, we have that if $r \geq \max\{s(p+q+1) - p, p - t(p+q-1)\}$ then $Z_r^{p,q} = Z_\infty^{p,q}$ and $B_r^{p,q} = B_\infty^{p,q}$. Thus the sequence will converge.

For $0 \leq r \leq \infty$, let $E_r^{p,q} = \frac{Z_r^{p,q}}{Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1} + B_{r-1}^{p,q}}$ endowed with the quotient bornology. Let $\eta_r^{p,q} : Z_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p,q}$ be the projection with kernel $Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1} + B_{r-1}^{p,q}$.

$d(Z_r^{p,q}) = B_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \subset Z_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d(Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1} + B_{r-1}^{p,q}) &= d(Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1}) + d(B_{r-1}^{p,q}) \\ &= B_{r-1}^{p+r,q-r+1} \\ &\subset Z_{r-1}^{p+r+1,q-r} + B_{r-1}^{p+r,q-r+1} \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $d : Z_r^{p,q} \rightarrow Z_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ induces a differential map $d_r : E_r^{p,q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r,q-r+1}$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_r^{p,q} & \xrightarrow{d} & Z_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \\ \eta_r^{p,q} \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \\ E_r^{p,q} & \xrightarrow{d_r} & E_r^{p+r,q-r+1} \end{array}$$

It is clear that $d_r^2 = 0$. Let U be a bounded subset of $E_r^{p,q}$. Then there is a U' bounded in $Z_r^{p,q}$ with $U = \eta_r^{p,q}(U')$. As d is a bounded

map, it follows that $d(U')$ is a bounded subset of $Z_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$. Then $d_r(U) = \eta_r^{p+r, q-r+1}(d(U'))$ is a bounded subset of $E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$. Thus for all p and q , we have $d_r^{p, q} : E_r^{p, q} \rightarrow E_r^{p+r, q-r+1}$ is itself a bounded map. Now, $E_r = \bigoplus_{p, q} E_r^{p, q}$ so that $d_r = \bigoplus_{p, q} d_r^{p, q}$. Thus consider $d_r : E_r \rightarrow E_r$. Let U be bounded in E_r . Then there exist $\mathcal{B}^{p, q}$ is bounded in $E_r^{p, q}$ such that $U \subset \bigoplus_{p, q} \mathcal{B}^{p, q}$, with $\mathcal{B}^{p, q} = 0$ for all but finitely many pairs (p, q) . Then $d_r(U) \subset \bigoplus_{p, q} d_r^{p, q}(\mathcal{B}^{p, q})$. As each of these $d_r^{p, q}$ are themselves bounded maps it follows that d_r is a bounded map.

$\ker d_r^{p, q} \subset E_r^{p, q}$, so we can consider $(\eta_r^{p, q})^{-1}(\ker d_r^{p, q})$. $d_r^{p, q} \eta_r^{p, q} = \eta_r^{p+r, q-r+1} d$ so that $d_r^{p, q}(\eta_r^{p, q} z) = 0$ if and only if $d z \in Z_{r-1}^{p+r+1, q-r} + B_{r-1}^{p+r, q-r+1}$. This is true if and only if $z \in Z_{r+1}^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1}$. Thus $(\eta_r^{p, q})^{-1}(\ker d_r^{p, q}) = Z_{r+1}^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1}$. As $Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1} \subset \ker \eta_r^{p, q}$, we have that $\ker d_r^{p, q} = \eta_r^{p, q}(Z_{r+1}^{p, q})$.

$\text{im } d_r^{p-r, q+r-1} = \eta_r^{p, q}(d(Z_r^{p-r, q+r-1})) = \eta_r^{p, q}(B_r^{p, q})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_r^{p, q})^{-1}(\text{im } d_r^{p-r, q+r-1}) &= B_r^{p, q} + \ker \eta_r^{p, q} \\ &= B_r^{p, q} + B_{r-1}^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1} \\ &= B_r^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1} \end{aligned}$$

as $B_{r-1}^{p, q} \subset B_r^{p, q}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1} \cap Z_{r+1}^{p, q} &= F^{p+1} A^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^{p+r} A^{p+q+1}) \\ &\quad \cap F^p A^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^{p+r+1} A^{p+q+1}) \\ &= F^{p+1} A^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^{p+r+1} A^{p+q+1}) \\ &= Z_r^{p+1, q-1} \end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \cap (\eta_r^{p, q})^{-1}(\text{im } d_r^{p-r, q+r-1}) &= (B_r^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1}) \cap Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \\ &= B_r^{p, q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1, q-1} \cap Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \\ &= B_r^{p, q} + Z_r^{p+1, q-1} \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\gamma : Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \rightarrow H^{p, q}(E_r^{*, *}, d_r)$ be the composite

$$Z_{r+1}^{p, q} \xrightarrow{\eta_r^{p, q}} \ker d_r^{p, q} \xrightarrow{\pi} H^{p, q}(E_r^{*, *}, d_r)$$

where π is the usual projection onto $H^{p, q}(E_r^{*, *}, d_r) = \frac{\ker d_r^{p, q}}{\text{im } d_r^{p-r, q+r-1}}$. As γ is the composition of two bounded maps, it is clear that γ is itself a bounded map.

$\ker \gamma = Z_{r+1}^{p,q} \cap (\eta_r^{p,q})^{-1}(\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}) = B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}$ so that, at least algebraically, we have an isomorphism

$$\frac{Z_{r+1}^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}} = E_{r+1}^{p,q} \cong H^{p,q}(E_r^{*,*}, d_r)$$

The isomorphism is $\gamma' : z + (B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}) \mapsto \gamma(z) + (\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1})$. To verify that this map is a bornological isomorphism we must check that it and its inverse are both bounded maps.

Let U be a bounded subset of $\frac{Z_{r+1}^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}} = E_{r+1}^{p,q}$. Then there is a bounded subset U' of $Z_{r+1}^{p,q}$ such that $\eta_{r+1}^{p,q}(U') = U$. $\gamma'(U) = \eta_r^{p,q}(U') + (\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1})$. As $\eta_r^{p,q}$ is a bounded map we have that $\eta_r^{p,q}(U')$ is a bounded set in $\ker d_r^{p,q}$, so that $\eta_r^{p,q}(U') + (\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1})$ is bounded in $H^{p,q}(E_r^{*,*}, d_r)$. It follows that γ' is a bounded map.

Let $\phi : \frac{\ker d_r^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}} \rightarrow \frac{Z_{r+1}^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}}$ be given by $z + (\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}) \mapsto (\eta_r^{p,q})^{-1}(z) \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + (B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1})$. This is the inverse of γ' . To show that ϕ is bounded, let U be a bounded subset of $\frac{\ker d_r^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}}$. There exists a bounded subset U' of $\ker d_r^{p,q}$ such that $U' + (\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1})$ contains U in $\frac{\ker d_r^{p,q}}{\operatorname{im} d_r^{p-r,q+r-1}}$. U' is bounded in $E_r^{p,q}$, as $\ker d_r^{p,q} \subset E_r^{p,q}$, so there exists a bounded subset U'' of $Z_r^{p,q}$ with $U' = \eta_r^{p,q}(U'')$. Thus $U'' + B_{r-1}^{p,q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1}$ is the full preimage of U' under $\eta_r^{p,q}$. So

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta_r^{p,q})^{-1}(U') \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} &= U'' \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + B_{r-1}^{p,q} \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + Z_{r-1}^{p+1,q-1} \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} \\ &= U'' \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + B_{r-1}^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1} \\ &\subset U'' \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\phi(U) \subset U'' \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q} + (B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1})$$

in $\frac{Z_{r+1}^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}}$. As $U'' \cap Z_{r+1}^{p,q}$ is bounded in $Z_{r+1}^{p,q}$ we have that $\phi(U)$ is bounded in $\frac{Z_{r+1}^{p,q}}{B_r^{p,q} + Z_r^{p+1,q-1}}$, whence ϕ is a bounded map. Therefore we have a bornological isomorphism

$$E_{r+1}^{p,q} \cong H^{p,q}(E_r^{*,*}, d_r)$$

Thus we have indeed constructed a spectral sequence of bornological modules. All that is left is to identify the $E_1^{p,q}$ and $E_\infty^{p,q}$ terms.

We have from our above definitions that $Z_{-1}^{p+1,q-1} = F^{p+1}A^{p+q}$, $B_{-1}^{p,q} = d(F^{p+1}A^{p+q-1})$, and $Z_0^{p,q} = F^pA^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^pA^{p+q+1})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_0^{p,q} &= \frac{Z_0^{p,q}}{Z_{-1}^{p+1,q-1} + B_{-1}^{p,q}} \\ &= \frac{F^pA^{p+q} \cap d^{-1}(F^pA^{p+q+1})}{F^{p+1}A^{p+q} + d(F^{p+1}A^{p+q-1})} \\ &= \frac{F^pA^{p+q}}{F^{p+1}A^{p+q}} \end{aligned}$$

$d_0^{p,q} : E_0^{p,q} \rightarrow E_0^{p,q+1}$ is induced by $d : F^pA^{p+q} \rightarrow F^pA^{p+q+1}$, and they fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F^pA^{p+q} & \xrightarrow{d} & F^pA^{p+q+1} \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ E_0^{p,q} = \frac{F^pA^{p+q}}{F^{p+1}A^{p+q}} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \frac{F^pA^{p+q+1}}{F^{p+1}A^{p+q+1}} = E_0^{p,q+1} \end{array}$$

where π are the usual projections. It follows that $H^{p,q}(E_0^{*,*}, d_0)$ is the homology of the complex $(F^pA^*/F^{p+1}A^*, d_0)$. Thus $H^{p,q}(E_0^{*,*}, d_0) = H^{p+q}(F^pA/F^{p+1}A)$. As we have already been able to identify the $E_1^{p,q}$ term as just $H^{p,q}(E_0^{*,*}, d_0)$ we have a bornological isomorphism

$$E_1^{p,q} \cong H^{p+q}(F^pA/F^{p+1}A)$$

Recall that if (A, F, d) is a filtered differential graded bornological module, then F induces a filtering on $H(A, d)$, given by $F^pH(A, d) = \text{im}\{H(\text{inclusion}) : H(F^pA) \rightarrow H(A)\}$. Let $\eta_\infty^{p,q} : Z_\infty^{p,q} \rightarrow E_\infty^{p,q}$ and $\pi : \ker d \rightarrow H(A, d)$ denote the canonical projections.

$$\begin{aligned} F^pH^{p+q}(A, d) &= H^{p+q}(\text{im}(F^pA \rightarrow A), d) \\ &= \pi(F^pA^{p+q} \cap \ker d) \\ &= \pi(Z_\infty^{p,q}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\ker \eta_\infty^{p,q}) &= \pi(Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q}) \\ &= \pi(Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1}) \\ &= F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d) \end{aligned}$$

so that π induces a map

$$d_\infty : E_\infty^{p,q} \rightarrow \frac{F^pH^{p+q}(A, d)}{F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\ker d_\infty &= \eta_\infty^{p,q}(\pi^{-1}(F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d) \cap Z_\infty^{p,q})) \\
&= \eta_\infty^{p,q}(\pi^{-1}((Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + d(A)) \cap Z_\infty^{p,q})) \\
&= \eta_\infty^{p,q}(\pi^{-1}(Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q})) \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore d_∞ is injective, hence an algebraic isomorphism. To finish this lemma, we must show that d_∞ is a bornological isomorphism. As $\pi : \ker d \rightarrow H(A, d)$ is bounded and $\pi(Z_\infty^{p,q}) = F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$, so that we have that the restriction $\pi : Z_\infty^{p,q} \rightarrow F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$ is a bounded surjection. Let U be a bounded subset of $E_\infty^{p,q}$. There is a bounded subset U' of $Z_\infty^{p,q}$ such that $\eta_\infty^{p,q}(U') = U$. As π is a bounded map, we have that $\pi(U')$ is a bounded subset of $F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$, so that $d_\infty(U) = \pi(U') + F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)$ is a bounded subset of $\frac{F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)}{F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)}$, whence d_∞ is a bounded map.

We consider the inverse map. Let

$$\phi : \frac{F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)}{F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)} \rightarrow \frac{Z_\infty^{p,q}}{Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q}}$$

be defined by $\phi : z + (F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)) \mapsto \pi^{-1}(z) \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} + (Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q})$. This is the inverse of d_∞ . Let U be a bounded subset of $\frac{F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)}{F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)}$. There is a bounded U' subset of $F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$ which maps to U under the canonical projection. As $F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$ is contained in $H^{p+q}(A, d)$, U' is a bounded subset of $H^{p+q}(A, d)$. There exists a bounded subset U'' in $\ker d^{p+q}$ with $U' = U'' + (\text{im } d^{p+q-1})$. As U' is a subset of $F^p H^{p+q}(A, d)$ and not just of $H^{p+q}(A, d)$, we can assume that $U' \subset \ker d^{p+q} \cap F^p A^{p+q} + (\text{im } d^{p+q-1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
U'' &\subset \ker d^{p+q} \cap F^p A^{p+q} + \text{im } d^{p+q-1} \\
&= Z_\infty^{p,q} + B_\infty^{p,q}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore U'' is bounded in the subspace $Z_\infty^{p,q} + B_\infty^{p,q}$, and $\pi(U'') = U'' + (\text{im } d^{p+q-1}) \supset U' = U + F^{p+1}H^{p+q}(A, d)$. Thus $\pi^{-1}(U) \subset U'' + \text{im } d^{p+q-1}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{-1}(U) \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} &\subset U'' \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} + \text{im } d^{p+q-1} \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} \\
&= U'' \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} + B_\infty^{p,q}
\end{aligned}$$

So that

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(U) &= \pi^{-1}(U) \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} + (Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q}) \\
&\subset U'' \cap Z_\infty^{p,q} + (Z_\infty^{p+1,q-1} + B_\infty^{p,q})
\end{aligned}$$

As U'' is bounded in $Z_\infty^{p,q} + B_\infty^{p,q}$ we have that $U'' \cap Z_\infty^{p,q}$ is bounded in $Z_\infty^{p,q}$. Thus ϕ is a bounded map. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Given a double complex $\{M^{*,*}, d', d''\}$ of bornological modules and bounded maps, there are two spectral sequences of bornological modules, $\{{}_I E_r^{*,*}, {}_I d_r\}$ and $\{{}_{II} E_r^{*,*}, {}_{II} d_r\}$ with ${}_I E_2^{p,q} \cong H_I^{*,*} H_{II}(M)$ and ${}_{II} E_2^{p,q} \cong H_{II}^{*,*} H_I(M)$. If $M^{*,*}$ is a first-quadrant double complex, then both spectral sequences converge to $H^*(total(M), d)$.*

Proof. Consider the following filtrations on $(total(M), d)$.

$$\begin{aligned} F_I^p(total(M))^t &= \bigoplus_{r \geq p} M^{r, t-r} \\ F_{II}^p(total(M))^t &= \bigoplus_{r \geq p} M^{t-r, r} \end{aligned}$$

F_I^* will be referred to as the column-wise filtration, and F_{II}^* will be referred to as the row-wise filtration. Both are decreasing filtrations, respected by the differential. As $M^{*,*}$ is first-quadrant, each of these filtrations are bounded, and by Lemma 5 we obtain two spectral sequences of bornological modules converging to $H(total(M), d)$. In the case of F_I^p we have

$${}_I E_r^{p,q} = H^{p+q} \left(\frac{F_I^p(total(M))}{F_I^{p+1}(total(M))}, d \right)$$

We thus need to identify the $E_2^{*,*}$ term. The differential on $total(M)$ is given by $d = d' + d''$ so that $d'(F_I^p(total(M))) \subset F_I^{p+1}(total(M))$. Then there is a bornological isomorphism

$$\left(\frac{F_I^p(total(M))}{F_I^{p+1}(total(M))} \right)^{p+q} \cong M^{p,q}$$

with the induced differential d'' . Thus ${}_I E_1^{p,q} \cong H_{II}^{p,q}(M)$.

Consider the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} i : H^n(F^p) &\rightarrow H^n(F^{p-1}) \\ j : H^n(F^p) &\rightarrow H^n(F^p/F^{p+1}) \\ k : H^n(F^p/F^{p+1}) &\rightarrow H^{n+1}(F^{p+1}) \\ d_1 : H_{II}^{p,q}(M) &\rightarrow H_{II}^{p+1,q}(M) \end{aligned}$$

where i is induced by the inclusion $F^{p-1} \rightarrow F^p$, j is induced by the quotient map $F^p \rightarrow F^p/F^{p+1}$, and k is the connecting homomorphism. It is clear that i and j are bounded. The k map sends $[x + F^{p+1}] \in H^n(F^p/F^{p+1})$ to $[dx] \in H^{n+1}(F^{p+1})$. If U is a bounded subset of $H^n(F^p/F^{p+1})$ then there is a bounded subset U' in the kernel of the

boundary map $\partial : F^p/F^{p+1} \rightarrow F^{p+1}/F^{p+2}$ with $U' + (\text{im } \partial) = U \in H^n(F^p/F^{p+1})$. Then there is U'' a bounded subset of F^p with $U' = U'' + F^{p+1} \in F^p/F^{p+1}$. As d is a bounded map, $d(U'')$ is a bounded subset of F^{p+1} . It follows that $[d(U'')]$ is bounded in $H^{n+1}(F^{p+1})$, and k is a bounded map.

A class in $H^{p+q}(F^p/F^{p+1})$ can be written as $[x + F^{p+1}]$, where $x \in F^p$ and $dx \in F^{p+1}$, or it can be written as a class, $[z] \in H_{II}^{p,q}(M)$, $z \in M^{p,q}$. k sends $[x + F^{p+1}]$ to $[dx] \in H^{p+q+1}(F^{p+1})$. Taking z as a representative, this determines $[d'z] \in H^{p+q+1}(F^{p+1})$, since $d''(z) = 0$. Thus we can consider $d'z$ as an element of $M^{p+1,q}$. j assigns a class in $H^{p+q+1}(F^{p+1})$ to its representative in $H^{p+q+1}(F^{p+1}/F^{p+2})$. This gives $d_1 = j \circ k$ as the induced mapping of d' on $H_{II}^{p,q}(M)$, so $d_1 = \bar{d}'$. Thus we have ${}_IE_2^{p,q} \cong H_I^{p,q} H_{II}^{*,*}(M)$. Symmetry gives ${}_{II}E_2^{p,q} \cong H_{II}^{p,q} H_I^{*,*}(M)$. $\square$

4. POLYNOMIAL COHOMOLOGY

Let G be a discrete group. A length function on G is a function $\ell : G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (1) $\ell(g) = 0$ if and only if $g = 1_G$ is the identity element of G .
- (2) For all $g \in G$, $\ell(g) = \ell(g^{-1})$.
- (3) For all g and $h \in G$, $\ell(gh) \leq \ell(g) + \ell(h)$.

To a finite generating set S of G , we associate a length function ℓ_S defined by $\ell_S(g) = \min\{n \mid g = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \text{ where } s_i \in S \cup S^{-1}\}$. This length function depends on S , but for different choices of S we obtain linearly equivalent length functions. We refer to any length function obtained in this way as a word-length function.

Fix some length function ℓ on G . For each positive integer k and for $i = 1$ and $i = 2$ define norms on the set of functions $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\|\phi\|_{i,k} = \left(\sum_{g \in G} |\phi(g)|^i (1 + \ell(g))^{ik} \right)^{1/i}$$

Let $\mathcal{S}_\ell G$ be the set of all functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all k , $\|f\|_{1,k} < \infty$. $\mathcal{S}_\ell G$ is a Fréchet algebra in this family of norms, giving the structure of a bornological algebra. In what follows, we are solely interested in the case of a word-length function on G . In this case we denote $\mathcal{S}_\ell G$ by $\mathcal{S}G$. Polynomially equivalent length functions yield the same $\mathcal{S}_\ell G$ algebra, so the particular word-length function used is irrelevant.

Let A be a bornological algebra. A bornological A -module is a complete locally convex bornological space, along with a jointly bounded

A -module structure. A bornological A module is bornologically projective if it is a direct summand of bornological module of the form $A \hat{\otimes} E$ for some bornological vector space E , with the left-action given by the multiplication in A . An important property of bornologically free modules is that $\text{Hom}_A(A \hat{\otimes} B, C) \cong \text{Hom}(B, C)$.

Definition 3. The polynomial cohomology of G with coefficients in a bornological $\mathcal{S}G$ -module M is given by $HP^*(G; M) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{S}G}^*(\mathbb{C}; M)$.

Ext here is the usual Ext functor in the bornological category. Notice that each of the Ext groups is a complex bornological vector space. Meyer shows in [11] that this is equivalent to the formulation described in the introduction, when the coefficient module is $\mathbb{C}$ endowed with the trivial $\mathcal{S}G$ -action. Using Ext over the topological category one recovers the original definition of Ji from [7], however from Meyer's work, for trivial coefficients $\mathbb{C}$, the topological and bornological theories coincide.

From our earlier formulation, we see that there is a comparison homomorphism $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(G; \mathbb{C})$. An important property with applications to the Novikov conjecture, as well as the ℓ^1 -Bass conjecture (see [8]) is when this comparison homomorphism is an isomorphism. In [11], Meyer shows that this is the case for any group equipped with a polynomial length combing. This wide class includes the word-hyperbolic groups of Gromov [5], the semihyperbolic groups of Alonso-Bridson [1], and the automatic groups of Epstein-Thurston [2], however it does not include all finitely generated groups.

Definition 4. A group G is isocohomological for an $\mathcal{S}G$ -module M if there is a bornological isomorphism $HP^*(G; M) \cong H^*(G; M)$. A group is isocohomological if it is isocohomological for $\mathbb{C}$ with the trivial $\mathcal{S}G$ action.

We note here that Meyer's notion of isocohomological is more subtle than what is expressed in our notion. His notion requires that a certain chain complex is contractible, which yields an isomorphism between cohomology and polynomial cohomology, but it also implies other things [14]. It is a stronger notion than what we mean by the term.

Let H and Q be finitely generated discrete groups with word-length functions ℓ_H and ℓ_Q respectively, and let

$$0 \rightarrow H \xrightarrow{\iota} G \xrightarrow{\pi} Q \rightarrow 0$$

be an extension of Q by H , with word-length function ℓ . (We will consider H as a subgroup of G and in so doing, we will omit the ι when considering an $h \in H$ as an element of G .) Let $q \mapsto \bar{q}$ be a cross section of π . To this cross section we define a function $[\cdot, \cdot] : Q \times Q \rightarrow H$ by the

formula $\bar{q}_1\bar{q}_2 = \overline{q_1q_2}$. We call this function the factor set of the extension. We say that the factor set has polynomial growth if there exists constants C and r such that $\ell_H([q_1, q_2]) \leq C((1 + \ell_Q(q_1))(1 + \ell_Q(q_2)))^r$. The cross section also determines an “action” of Q on H given by $h^q = \bar{q}^{-1}h\bar{q}$. For nonabelian groups H , this need not be an actual group action, but we are following the terminology of Noskov. We say that the action is polynomial if there exists constants C and r such that $\ell_H(h^q) \leq C\ell_H(h)(1 + \ell_Q(q))^r$. Note that if $\mathcal{Q}$ is the finite generating set for Q and $\mathcal{A}$ is the finite generating set for H , then as the generating set for G we will take the set of $h \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\bar{q}$ for $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

Definition 5. An extension G of a finitely generated group Q by a finitely generated group H is said to be a polynomial extension if there is some cross section yielding a factor set of polynomial growth and inducing a polynomial action of Q on H .

An important consequence of this definition is that the word-length function on H is polynomially equivalent to the word-length function on G restricted to H .

Lemma 7. *Let G be a polynomial extension of the finitely generated group Q by the finitely generated group H . Then there exists constants C and r such that for all $h \in H$ we have $\ell(h) \leq \ell_H(h) \leq C(1 + \ell(h))^r$.*

Proof. The right hand side of this inequality is Lemma 1.4 of [15]. The left hand side is obvious as the generators of G contain a subset generating H . $\square$

It follows that $\mathcal{S}_{\ell_H}H = \mathcal{S}_{\ell|_H}H$.

Lemma 8. *$SG \cong SH \hat{\otimes} SG/H$ as bornological SH -modules, where H is endowed with the restricted length function and G/H is given the minimal length function, $\ell^*(gH) = \min_{h \in H} \ell(gh)$, where ℓ is the length function on G .*

Proof. Let R be a set of minimal length representatives for right cosets. Let $r : G \rightarrow R$ be the map assigning to g , the representative of Hg . Each $g \in G$ has a unique representation as $g = h_g r(g)$, for $h_g \in H$ and $r(g) \in R$. There is an obvious equivalence between SG/H and SR . Consider the map $\phi : SG \rightarrow SH \hat{\otimes} SR$ given by $\phi(g) = (h_g) \hat{\otimes} (r(g))$. This is the desired bornological isomorphism. $\square$

Corollary 3. *A bornologically projective SG -module is a bornologically projective SH -module by restriction of the SG -action to an SH -action.*

Corollary 4. *Let M be an SG -module. Then a bornologically projective SG -module resolution of M is a bornologically projective SH -module resolution of M , by restriction.*

Consider the following:

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n} \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n-1} \xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{S}G \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}G \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{S}G \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\delta : \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n-1}$ is the usual boundary map given by

$$\delta(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i (x_1, \dots, \widehat{x}_i, \dots, x_n)$$

and extend by linearity, where we have used the tuple $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ to represent the elementary tensor $g_1 \otimes \dots \otimes g_n$. δ is a bounded map. Moreover, it is readily verified that $s : \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}G^{\hat{\otimes} n+1}$ defined by

$$s(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (1_G, g_1, \dots, g_n)$$

and extended by linearity, is a bounded contracting homotopy for this complex. It follows that this is a bornologically projective resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathcal{S}G$. We call this the standard bornological resolution for the group G . By the above corollary, it is also a bornologically projective resolution of $\mathbb{C}$ over $\mathcal{S}H$.

Theorem 3. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial extension of groups, and let H be isocohomological. Then there is a bornological spectral sequence with $E_2^{p,q} \cong HP^p(Q; HP^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$ and which converges to $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C})$.*

Before we prove Theorem 3, we present some corollaries.

Corollary 5. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial extension of groups, let Q be isocohomological with coefficients $HP^*(H; \mathbb{C})$, and let H be isocohomological. Then G is isocohomological.*

Proof. We compare the polynomial growth spectral with the usual spectral sequence for the group extension. The usual spectral sequence has E_2 term $HP^p(Q; H^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$. Since Q and H are isocohomological we have $HP^p(Q; HP^q(H; \mathbb{C})) \cong HP^p(Q; H^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$, so that the two spectral sequences have isomorphic E_2 terms, hence they have isomorphic limits. $\square$

A group G acts on $\ell^2(G)$ via $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$. This action extends by linearity to yield an action by $\mathbb{C}G$ on $\ell^2(G)$ by bounded operators. The completion of $\mathbb{C}G$ in $\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))$, the space of all bounded operators on $\ell^2(G)$ endowed with the operator norm, is defined to be the reduced group C^* -algebra, C_r^*G . Let $\mathcal{S}^2G$ be the set of all functions $f : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all k , $\|f\|_{2,k} < \infty$. The group G is said to have the Rapid Decay property if $\mathcal{S}^2G \subset C_r^*G$, [9].

Theorem 4. [15] *Let G be a polynomial extension of the finitely generated group Q by the finitely generated group H . If H and Q have the Rapid Decay property, then so does G .*

Corollary 6. *Let $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ be a polynomial group extension, let Q be isocohomological with coefficients $HP^*(H; \mathbb{C})$, and let H be isocohomological. If both Q and H have the Rapid Decay property, G satisfies the Novikov conjecture.*

Proof. By Corollary 5, G has cohomology of polynomial growth. By Noskov, G has the Rapid Decay property. The result follows from appealing to Connes-Moscovici. $\square$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Throughout this section, we assume the hypotheses of Theorem 3. Let (P_*, d_P) be the standard bornological resolution for G , and let (R_*, d_R) be the bornological standard resolution for Q . As the P_q are bornological $\mathcal{S}G$ -modules, they are by restriction, bornological $\mathcal{S}H$ -modules. Q acts on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$ via the action $(q\phi)(x) = \bar{q} \cdot \phi(\bar{q}^{-1}x)$, where $\bar{\cdot} : Q \rightarrow G$ is a cross-section satisfying the polynomial extension properties. This extends to a bornological $\mathcal{S}Q$ -module structure on $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $C^{p,q} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(R_p, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C}))$. d_P and d_R induce maps $\delta_P : C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p,q+1}$ and $\delta_R : C^{p,q} \rightarrow C^{p+1,q}$ respectively, given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_P f)(r)(x) &= (-1)^p f(r)(d_P x) \\ (\delta_R f)(r)(x) &= f(d_R r)(x) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 9. *With notation as above, δ_R and δ_P are bounded maps.*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(R_p, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C}))$. For every $r \in R_p$, we have by definition $(\delta_R f)(r) = f(d_R r)$. Let $S \subset C^{p,q}$ be an equibounded family, and let $U \subset R_p$ a bounded set, and consider $(\delta_R S)[U] = S[d_R U]$.

As d_R is a bounded map, and U is a bounded set, we have that $d_R U$ is a bounded subset of R_{p-1} and by equiboundedness $S[d_R U]$ is an equibounded family in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$. Thus δ_R is a bounded map.

Similarly, $(\delta_P f)(r)(x) = (-1)^p f(r)(d_P x)$ for all $x \in P_q$. Let $S \subset C^{p,q}$ be an equibounded family. Then for all bounded $U \subset R_p$, $S[U]$ is an equibounded family in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$. That is, for all bounded sets $V \subset P_q$, $S[U][V]$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$.

$(\delta_P S)[U][V] = S[U][d_P V]$. As d_P is a bounded map, we have that $(\delta_P S)[U][V]$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$ for all bounded U and V . Thus $\delta_P S$ is an equibounded family, so δ_P is a bounded map. $\square$

It is clear that $\delta_R^2 = \delta_P^2 = 0$. Moreover, $\delta_R\delta_P + \delta_P\delta_R = 0$ so that $C^{p,q}$ is a double complex of bornological vector spaces. Our goal is then to apply the machinery of section 3 to the spectral sequence to which this double complex gives rise.

Consider the rowwise filtration on this complex. For a fixed q we have the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta_R} C^{*-1,q} \xrightarrow{\delta_R} C^{*,q} \xrightarrow{\delta_R} C^{*+1,q} \xrightarrow{\delta_R} \dots$$

As R_p is a free $\mathcal{S}Q$ -module, we have that $R_p \cong \mathcal{S}Q \hat{\otimes} R'_p$ for some R'_p . Using the adjointness properties of the bornological projective tensor product we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C}) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(\mathcal{S}G \hat{\otimes} A_q, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}Q \hat{\otimes} A_q, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

By using $P_q \cong \mathcal{S}H \hat{\otimes} P'_q \cong \mathcal{S}G \hat{\otimes} A_q$ we see

$$\begin{aligned} C^{p,q} &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(R_p, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(R_p, \mathrm{Hom}(\mathcal{S}Q \hat{\otimes} A_q, \mathbb{C})) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(R_p \hat{\otimes} A_q, \mathbb{C}) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(R_p, \mathrm{Hom}(A_q, \mathbb{C})) \end{aligned}$$

The bounded homotopy for the complex R_* induces a contraction on $C^{*,q}$, so that $E_1^{p,q} = 0$ for $p \geq 1$, while $E_1^{0,q} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C}))$

Lemma 10. *Let F be a bornological $\mathcal{S}G$ -module. Then there is a bornological isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C})) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C})$*

Proof. Define a map $\Psi : \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C})$ by $\Psi(\xi)(f) = \xi(1)(f)$. Assume that g has the decomposition $h\bar{q}$. Then for $\xi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C}))$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\xi)(gf) &= \xi(1)(h\bar{q}f) \\ &= h \cdot \xi(1)(\bar{q}f) \\ &= h\bar{q}\bar{q}^{-1} \cdot \xi(1)(\bar{q}f) \\ &= g \cdot \xi(\bar{q}^{-1} \cdot 1)(f) \\ &= g \cdot \xi(1)(f) \\ &= g \cdot \Psi(\xi)(f) \end{aligned}$$

so that $\Psi(\xi)$ is actually $\mathcal{S}G$ -equivariant. Let U be an equibounded family in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C}))$. Then $U[1]$ is an equibounded family in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$. But $\Psi(U) = U[1] \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$ so Ψ is a bounded map.

Consider the map $\Phi : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}Q}(\mathbb{C}, \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(F, \mathbb{C}))$ given by $\Phi(\varphi)(z)(f) = \varphi(zf)$, for all $\varphi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C})$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $q \in Q$, and $f \in F$.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\varphi)(qz)(f) &= \Phi(\varphi)(z)(f) \\ &= \varphi(zf) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot \varphi(\bar{q}^{-1}zf) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot \varphi(z\bar{q}^{-1}f) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot \Phi(\varphi)(z)(\bar{q}^{-1}f) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\Phi(\varphi)$ is $\mathcal{S}Q$ -equivariant. Moreover for $h \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\varphi)(z)(hf) &= \varphi(zhf) \\ &= \varphi(hzf) \\ &= h \cdot \varphi(zf) \\ &= h \cdot \Phi(\varphi)(z)(f) \end{aligned}$$

So that $\Phi(\varphi)(z)$ is $\mathcal{S}H$ -equivariant. Let U be an equibounded family in $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(F, \mathbb{C}) \subset \text{Hom}(F, \mathbb{C})$. Thus for any bounded $V \subset F$ we have that $U[V]$ is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$. Let B be a bounded subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Then $\Phi(U)[B][V] = U[BV]$. Since B is bounded in $\mathbb{C}$, and V is bounded in F we have that BV is bounded in F , so that $U[BV]$ is bounded in M . It follows that Φ is a bounded map.

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(\Psi(\xi))(z)(f) &= \Psi(\xi)(zf) \\ &= \xi(1)(zf) \\ &= z\xi(1)(f) \\ &= \xi(z)(f) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\Phi(\varphi))(f) &= \Phi(\varphi)(1)(f) \\ &= \varphi(f) \end{aligned}$$

So that $\Phi(\Psi(\xi)) = \xi$ and $\Psi(\Phi(\varphi)) = \varphi$. Thus Ψ and Φ are the desired bornological isomorphisms. $\square$

Applying this lemma we have identified $E_1^{0,q} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}G}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$. As P_* was a projective $\mathcal{S}G$ -complex, we obtain that the E_2 term is precisely $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C})$, and the sequence collapses here.

We now examine the columnwise filtration on the double complex. For a fixed p we have the complex

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\delta_P} C^{p,*-1} \xrightarrow{\delta_P} C^{p,*} \xrightarrow{\delta_P} C^{p,*+1} \xrightarrow{\delta_P} \dots$$

Note that d_P induces a map $d_P^* : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_q, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}H}(P_{q+1}, \mathbb{C})$ by $d_P^*(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(d_P x)$.

Lemma 11.

$$\begin{aligned}\ker \delta_P &= \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*) \\ \operatorname{im} \delta_P &= \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\varphi \in \ker \delta_P$, then for all $r \in R_p$, $(\delta_P \varphi)(r)(x) = 0$ for all $x \in P_*$. $(\delta_P \varphi)(r)(x) = (-1)^* \varphi(r)(d_P x)$, implies $\varphi(r) \in \ker d_P^*$ for all r , so $\ker \delta_P \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*)$. If $\xi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*)$ then for all $r \in R_p$, $d_P^* \xi(r) = 0$. That is $\xi(r)(d_P x) = 0$ for all $x \in P_*$, and $\delta_P \xi$ is the zero map, establishing $\operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*) \subset \ker \delta_P$.

If $\varphi \in \operatorname{im} \delta_P$ then there is a ϕ such that $\delta_P \phi = \varphi$. For all r and x , $\varphi(r)(x) = (-1)^p \phi(r)(d_P x) = (-1)^p d_P^*(\phi(r))(x)$. It follows that $\varphi(r) \in \operatorname{im} d_P^*$, so $\operatorname{im} \delta_P \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*)$. If $\xi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*)$, then for all r there is a $\psi(r) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{SH}(P_q, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\xi(r) = d_P^* \psi(r)$. That is, for all x , $\xi(r)(x) = \psi(r)(d_P x)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(qr)(d_P x) &= \xi(qr)(x) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot (\xi(r)(\bar{q}^{-1} x)) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot (\psi(r)(d_P \bar{q}^{-1} x)) \\ &= \bar{q} \cdot (\psi(r)(\bar{q}^{-1} d_P x))\end{aligned}$$

It follows that ψ is an SQ -module map, so $\operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*) \subset \operatorname{im} \delta_P$. $\square$

Lemma 12. $\operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*}) \cong \frac{\operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*)}{\operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*)}$ as bornological vector spaces.

Proof. Consider the map $\Phi' : \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*})$. The kernel of this map consists of exactly those maps whose image lies in the image of d_P^* , so $\ker \Phi' = \operatorname{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \operatorname{im} d_P^*)$. Consider $\Phi' : \operatorname{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(R'_p, \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*})$, where R'_p is as above. $\frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*} = HP^*(H; \mathbb{C})$ which, since H is isocohomological, is bornologically isomorphic to $H^*(H; \mathbb{C})$, an algebraic vector space endowed with the fine bornology. Thus the projection $\gamma : \ker d_P^* \rightarrow \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*}$ gives a bornological quotient $\gamma' : \ker d_P^* \rightarrow H^*(H; \mathbb{C})$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a basis for the algebraic vector space $H^*(H; \mathbb{C})$. For each $v \in \mathcal{V}$, let $T'(v)$ be an element of $\ker d_P^*$ such that $\gamma'(T'(v)) = v$, and extend by linearity. This gives a linear $T' : H^*(H; \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \ker d_P^*$, which serves as a cross-section of γ' . As $H^*(H; \mathbb{C})$ is endowed with the fine bornology, T is a bounded map. This induces a bounded $T : \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*} \rightarrow \ker d_P^*$. For each $\xi \in \operatorname{Hom}(R'_p, \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\operatorname{im} d_P^*})$, there is $T^*(\xi) \in \operatorname{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*)$ given by $T^*(\xi)(r) = T(\xi(r))$. $\Phi'(T^*(\xi))(r) = \xi(r)$, so Φ' is surjective. Φ' then

induces a bounded bijection $\Phi : \frac{\text{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*)}{\text{Hom}(R'_p, \text{im } d_P^*)} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R'_p, \frac{\ker d_P^*}{\text{im } d_P^*})$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*) &\cong \text{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \ker d_P^*) \\ &\subset \text{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, \text{Hom}_{SH}(P_q, \mathbb{C})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(R'_p, \text{Hom}(P'_q, \mathbb{C})) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}(R'_p \hat{\otimes} P'_q, \mathbb{C}) \end{aligned}$$

As R'_p and P'_q are tensor products of Fréchet spaces, $R'_p \hat{\otimes} P'_q$ itself is a Fréchet space in its canonical bornology. By Lemma 3, Lemma 1, and Lemma 2 we have that $\frac{\text{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*)}{\text{Hom}(R'_p, \text{im } d_P^*)}$ has a net. Moreover $\text{Hom}(R'_p, \ker d_P^*)$ is a complete bornological space. Applying Theorem 2 we obtain the result. $\square$

Using the columnwise filtration on our double complex, we have that the E_1 term is bornologically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}_{SQ}(R_p, HP^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$. It follows that E_2 is isomorphic to $HP^p(Q; HP^q(H; \mathbb{C}))$. As this spectral sequence converges to the same sequence as that obtained from the rowwise filtration, we have convergence to $HP^*(G; \mathbb{C})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Alonso, M. Bridson. Semihyperbolic groups. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 70(1):56–114, 1995.
- [2] J. Cannon, D. Epstein, D. Holt, S. Levy, M. Paterson, W. Thurston. Word processing in groups. Jones and Bartlett Publishers, Boston, MA, 1992.
- [3] A. Connes, and H. Moscovici. Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups. Topology, 29(3):345–388, 1990.
- [4] S. Gersten. Finiteness properties of asynchronously automatic groups. Geometric group theory (Columbus, OH, 1992), 121–133, Ohio State Univ. Math. Res. Inst. Publ., 3, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.
- [5] M. Gromov. Volume and bounded cohomology. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., (56):5–99 (1983), 1982.
- [6] H. Hogbe-Nlend. Bornologies and functional analysis. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1977. Introductory course on the theory of duality topology-bornology and its use in functional analysis, Translated from the French by V. B. Moscatelli, North-Holland Mathematics Studies, Vol. 26, Notas de Matematica, No. 62. [Notes on Mathematics, No. 62].
- [7] R. Ji. Smooth dense subalgebras of reduced group C^* -algebras, Schwartz cohomology of groups, and cyclic cohomology. J. Funct. Anal., 107(1):1–33, 1992.
- [8] R. Ji, C. Ogle, and B. Ramsey. Relative Hyperbolicity and a Generalization of the Bass Conjecture. Submitted.
- [9] P. Jolissaint. Rapidly decreasing functions in reduced C^* -algebras of groups. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 317(1):167–196, 1990.
- [10] J. McCleary. A user's guide to spectral sequences, 2nd Edition. Cambridge University Press, 2001.

- [11] R. Meyer. Combable groups have group cohomology of polynomial growth. *Q. J. Math.*, 57(2):241–261, 2006.
- [12] R. Meyer. Bornological versus topological analysis in metrizable spaces. In *Banach algebras and their applications*, volume 363 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 249–278. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004.
- [13] R. Meyer. Analytic cyclic cohomology. [arXiv:math/9906205v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/9906205v1).
- [14] R. Meyer. Embedding derived categories of bornological modules. [arXiv:math/0410596v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0410596v1).
- [15] G. Noskov. Algebras of rapidly decreasing functions on groups and cocycles of polynomial growth. *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, 33(4):97–103, 221, 1992.1
- [16] G. Noskov. The Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for bounded cohomology. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Algebra, Part 1* (Novosibirsk, 1989), 613–629, *Contemp. Math.*, 131, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1992.
- [17] C. Ogle. Polynomially bounded cohomology and discrete groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 195(2):173–209, 2005.

Bobby Ramsey
Department of Mathematical Sciences
IUPUI
Indianapolis, IN 46202
bramsey@math.iupui.edu